G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

19

File No. 19-21.

192/.

Serial Nos. 1-2.

(Ch.)

Later references.

Preserve

Preserve
B/
25/2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for August 1921.

SUBJECT.

Amendment to Rule of Practice No. 5 (Criminal) for the opening of a Register for entry of revision cases.

8(2) To The Registrar Chief Court.

No. J 547 D. 3.8.21.

Sanctions the amendment.

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

11/8/21 JM

26481

G. R. S. 11] 1921-22

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Jud)

NOTES.

File No. 19-28

FILE HEADING.

Amendment to Rule of Practice no 5 (Criminal) for the opening of a Register for entry of revision cases

From

The Registrar Lahore Court

No. 708 Dated 18.6.1921 Received 22.6.1921

Diary No. 26481	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that no register has been prescribed for noting Criminal Revision cases instituted in the Courts of Sessions Judges and District Magistrates and requests sanction for the amendment of the Rule of Practice no 5 (Criminal) regarding the opening up of the register in question

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submitted for orders with a draft for approval

By WR 16/7/21

2) The Register is based on the Calcutta model.

D. M. 29/7

2nd Member 3/Aug

30/7

Hon'ble Mr. Justice

754 29.7.21

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.	✓ File No. 19 of 1912.1
Serial No.	
2	Do The Registrar Kolh court No J 547. Dt 3-8-1921 Sanctions the above amendment



3 Roc 718

Bangalore,

Dated 18th June 1921.

4619

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esqr. B.A., B.L.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that in the list of cases to be entered in the new form of Criminal Register No. III (Miscellaneous) prescribed under corrigendum dated 30th October 1920 to Rule of Practice No.5 (Criminal) dated 12th June 1878, Criminal Revision Cases instituted in the courts of Sessions Judges and District Magistrates were not included in conformity with the practice in British India where a separate register is maintained for such cases. As the Sessions Judges and District Magistrates are of opinion that a separate register, as in British India, should be opened for Revision Cases it is now proposed to introduce a register on the model of the one in use in Calcutta.

I am to request therefore that the Government may be pleased to accord their sanction to the accompanying amendment to the Rule of Practice No.5(Criminal) regarding the opening up of the register in question.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Srinivasaiyengar
Ag: REGISTRAR.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 26681

Section Ch

File No. 19-21

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue..... 3/8

Signed by..... Mr Price

Read:— Letter no 718 dt 18-6-1921 from the Registrar Sessions Court, stating that Criminal Revision Cases instituted in the Courts of Sessions judges and District Magistrates were not included in the list of cases to be entered in the new form of Criminal Register no 111 (Miscellaneous) prescribed under Corrigendum dt 30-10-1920 to Rule of practice no 5 (Criminal) dt 12th June 1878, and that a separate

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

~~Order Thereon:— No.~~

ver.

6

Register is maintained for
Revision cases in Provincial India
and requesting Sanction to the
accompanying amendment to
the Rule of Practice no 5 (Criminal)
regarding the opening up of the
Register in question
order. ~~5~~

Sanctioned
~~Approved~~

D.W
12/1.7 Revising

Do

Re Registrar Calcutta etc

6/7/24 WW

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by